

REVIEW CRITERIA FOR PEER-REFEREED ARTICLES

CRITERIA FOR APPLIED PAPERS:

Clearly defined topic and purpose, including a specific statement as to the significance of the study/investigation.

Literature review containing relevant information that builds the case for the study.

Detailed explanation of investigative methods and procedures.

Investigative results of each procedural step in the development of the study and proof that results obtained from the study are important to be known and disseminated.

Statement of conclusions, implications, and recommendations.

Article conforms to the style and format guidelines of the journal.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROBLEM

Is the article's focus in congruence with the mission of the journal? Specifically, does the article focus on the development and application of applied innovative methodology that improves an educational process or knowledge?

Usefulness: How useful is this material to the educational field?

METHODOLOGY AND OBJECTIVITY

Is the applied investigative methodology described in the article well developed, clearly articulated, and appropriate given the expressed problem and literature support?

Are the conclusions discussed by the author(s) in the article supported by the paper's findings? In particular, are the conclusions logically consistent and do they logically follow from the problem statement, the literature, the applied methodology, and the findings?

Does the article conform to the organization, style, and format guidelines set forth by the journal (APA)?

CLARITY OF PRESENTATION

Does the article clearly state and explain the problem or issue that is to be addressed?

Is the statement of the problem or issue directly linked with and in alignment with the subsequent review of the literature?

Is the article organized in accordance with currently accepted formats for reporting applied practitioner type papers? In particular, is there a logical flow to the ideas presented?

INSIGHT AND PERSPECTIVE

Does the paper take an original approach?

Does the author(s) demonstrate original insights into the topic or material discussed in the paper?

Do the conclusions, implications, or outcomes of the paper make an original contribution in either the application or the solution itself to the field?

Does the paper acknowledge its own limitations?

EVALUATOR'S CONCLUSIONS:

- I recommend the publishing of the article;
- I recommend the publishing of the article after the revision of the author;
- I do not recommend the publishing of the article.